

# Summary of Contents

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Chapter 1</b>  |            |
| <b>Conflicts of Interest: Disclosure, Incentives, and the Market</b>  | <b>1</b>   |
| <i>Rashid Bahar and Luc Thévenoz</i>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 2</b>  |            |
| <b>Structure of Executive Compensation and Conflicts of Interests – Legal Constraints and Practical Recommendations under Swiss Law</b> | <b>31</b>  |
| <i>Rolf Watter and Karim Maizar</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 3</b>  |            |
| <b>Executive Compensation: Is Disclosure Enough?</b>  | <b>85</b>  |
| <i>Rashid Bahar</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 4</b>  |            |
| <b>Executive Compensation and Analyst Guidance: The Link between CEO Pay and Expectations Management</b>                                | <b>137</b> |
| <i>Guido Bolliger and Manuel Kast</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 5</b>  |            |
| <b>Investment Research: How to Solve Conflicts of Interest More Efficiently</b>   | <b>171</b> |
| <i>Sandro Abegglen</i>  |            |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| <b>Chapter 6</b><br><b>Do Conflicts of Interest Affect Analysts' Forecasts<br/>and Recommendations? A Survey</b>                            | <b>187</b> |
| <i>Michel Dubois and Pascal Dumontier</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 7</b><br><b>Regulation of Financial Analysts: An Illustration of the Current<br/>Trends in Financial Market Law</b>              | <b>211</b> |
| <i>Jean-Baptiste Zufferey</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 8</b><br><b>Conflicts of Interest in Research: Independence of Financial<br/>Analysts – The Costs and Benefits of Regulation</b> | <b>227</b> |
| <i>Leo Th. Schrutt and Stefan Wieler</i>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 9</b><br><b>Conflicts of Interest, Especially in Asset Management</b>  | <b>261</b> |
| <i>Eddy Wymeersch</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 10</b><br><b>Conflicts of Interest in Institutional Asset Management:<br/>Is the EU Regulatory Approach Adequate?</b>            | <b>277</b> |
| <i>Marc Kruithof</i>  |            |
| <b>Chapter 11</b><br><b>Conflicts of Interest in the Distribution of Investment Funds</b>   | <b>337</b> |
| <i>Luc Thévenoz</i>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 12</b><br><b>United States Mutual Fund Investors, Their Managers and Distributors</b>  | <b>363</b> |
| <i>Tamar Frankel</i>  |            |

# Table of Contents

|  |              |
|--|--------------|
| <b>List of Contributors</b>  | <b>xix</b>   |
| <b>Preface</b>   | <b>xxi</b>   |
| <b>Foreword</b>  | <b>xxiii</b> |
| <b>Chapter 1</b>   |              |
| <b>Conflicts of Interest: Disclosure, Incentives, and the Market</b> | <b>1</b>     |
| <i>Rashid Bahar and Luc Thévenoz</i>                                 |              |
| I. What are Conflicts of Interest?                                   | 2            |
| A. The Traditional Approach: Fiduciaries and the Duty of Loyalty     | 2            |
| B. The Ever-Broadening Scope of Conflicts of Interest                | 4            |
| C. Erosion and Waivers of the Duty of Loyalty                        | 7            |
| II. Compensation   | 8            |
| A. Compensation as a Source of Conflicts of Interest                 | 8            |
| B. Compensation as a Solution to Conflicts of Interest               | 10           |
| C. Intrinsic Motivation and Non-Monetary Incentives                  | 11           |
| III. Remedies: Disclosure and Organizational Measures                | 12           |
| A. Can the Market Solve the Problem?                                 | 12           |
| B. Prohibition   | 14           |
| C. Organizational Measures   | 16           |
| D. Disclosure  | 18           |
| IV. Enforcement  | 21           |
| A. Private Law Remedies of the Principal                             | 21           |
| B. Class Actions and Derivative Suits                                | 22           |

|                           |    |
|---------------------------|----|
| C. Criminal Law           | 23 |
| D. Regulatory Enforcement | 24 |
| V. Conclusion             | 25 |

## Chapter 2

### **Structure of Executive Compensation and Conflicts of Interests – Legal Constraints and Practical Recommendations under Swiss Law** 31

*Rolf Watter and Karim Maizar*

|   |    |
|---|----|
| I. Introduction   | 31 |
| II. The Role of Executive Compensation from an Economic Point of View                             | 32 |
| A. The Agency Problem   | 32 |
| B. Overview of Remedies Against the Agency Problem  | 34 |
| C. Incentives and Performance-based Compensation in Particular                                    | 36 |
| D. Conflicts of Interests in Executive Compensation   | 39 |
| III. Conflicts of Interests and the Design of Executive Compensation Arrangements under Swiss Law | 42 |
| A. Objectives of Executive Compensation Arrangements  | 42 |
| B. Key Factors  | 43 |
| 1. Overall Target Level of Compensation and Key Contract Terms                                    | 44 |
| 2. The Relationship between Fixed and Variable Compensation                                       | 45 |
| a. In General   | 45 |
| b. Choice of Performance Measure  | 47 |
| 3. Form of Compensation   | 48 |
| C. Legal Restrictions and Practical Recommendations Regarding Executive Compensation Elements     | 49 |
| 1. The Use of Shares and Share Options  | 49 |
| a. General  | 49 |
| b. Legal Restrictions   | 50 |
| c. Recommendations  | 52 |
| 2. The Use of Bonus Plans   | 56 |
| a. General  | 56 |
| b. Legal Restrictions   | 56 |
| c. Recommendations  | 57 |
| 3. The Use of Severance Payments  | 58 |
| a. General  | 58 |
| b. Legal Restrictions   | 59 |
| c. Recommendations  | 60 |
| 4. The Use of Executive Loans   | 61 |
| a. General  | 61 |
| b. Legal Restrictions   | 61 |
| c. Recommendations  | 62 |

|     |  |    |
|-----|--|----|
| 5.  | The Use of other Forms of Compensation   | 62 |
| a.  | General  | 62 |
| b.  | Legal Restrictions   | 63 |
| c.  | Recommendations  | 63 |
| D.  | Our own Recommended Package  | 64 |
| IV. | Competences and Conflicts of Interests in Executive Compensation   | 64 |
| A.  | (Executive) Directors' Compensation  | 65 |
| 1.  | Overlapping Competences of the Board of Directors and the Shareholders Meeting                           | 65 |
| 2.  | Is Directors' Compensation a Non-transferable Duty of the Board of Directors?                            | 66 |
| 3.  | Dealing with Conflicts of Interests  | 68 |
| a.  | Duty to Abstain from Voting  | 68 |
| b.  | No Self-Dealing  | 69 |
| c.  | The Role of Compensation Committees and Independent/Non-Executive Directors                              | 70 |
| d.  | The Role of Shareholders   | 71 |
| B.  | Management Compensation  | 73 |
| 1.  | Competence of the Board of Directors   | 73 |
| 2.  | Is Management Compensation a Non-Transferable Duty of the Board of Directors?                            | 74 |
| 3.  | Dealing with Conflicts of Interests  | 74 |
| a.  | The Role of the Board of Directors, Compensation Committees, and Non-Executive and Independent Directors | 74 |
| b.  | The Role of Shareholders   | 76 |
| C.  | Shareholders' Approval for Directors' and/or Management Compensation?                                    | 76 |
| 1.  | Recent Developments  | 76 |
| 2.  | Pros and Cons of Shareholders' Approval  | 78 |
| V.  | Conclusion   | 81 |
| A.  | The Design of Executive Compensation Arrangements  | 82 |
| B.  | Competences in Executive Compensation  | 83 |

### Chapter 3

## Executive Compensation: Is Disclosure Enough? 85

*Rashid Bahar*

|    |  |    |
|----|--|----|
| I. | Purpose of Executive Compensation            | 86 |
| A. | Three Perspectives on Executive Compensation | 86 |
| 1. | Fairness                                     | 86 |
| 2. | Agency                                       | 87 |
| 3. | Intrinsic Motivation                         | 88 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| B.   | Executive Compensation as a Source of Agency Conflicts         | 89  |
| C.   | Risk Aversion and Non-Diversification of Managers              | 89  |
| D.   | The Difficulty of Designing an Appropriate Compensation Scheme | 90  |
| II.  | Governance of Executive Compensation                           | 91  |
| A.   | The Bargaining Paradigm and its Shortcomings                   | 91  |
| 1.   | Limited Decision-Making Power of Shareholders                  | 91  |
| 2.   | Decision-Making Power of the Board of Directors                | 93  |
| 3.   | Shortcomings of the Bargaining Paradigm                        | 94  |
| a.   | Conflicts of Interest at Board Level                           | 94  |
| b.   | Influence of Management at Board Level                         | 95  |
| c.   | Lack of Emotional Independence                                 | 96  |
| B.   | Corporate Governance Solutions                                 | 97  |
| 1.   | Improvements at Board Level                                    | 97  |
| 2.   | Control by Shareholders  | 99  |
| a.   | Lack of Control by Vote  | 99  |
| b.   | Control by Exit  | 101 |
| c.   | Control by Courts  | 101 |
| C.   | Conclusion   | 103 |
| III. | Disclosure and Executive Compensation                          | 103 |
| A.   | Is Disclosure a Solution to the Conflict of Interest?          | 103 |
| 1.   | The Swiss Regulatory Framework                                 | 104 |
| 2.   | The Substantive Shortcomings of the Swiss Regulatory Framework | 107 |
| a.   | Insufficient Disclosure of Deferred Compensation               | 107 |
| b.   | Insufficient Disclosure of Stock Option Plans                  | 108 |
| c.   | No Disclosure of the Unwinding of Incentive Schemes            | 108 |
| d.   | No Discussion or Analysis of Compensation Policy               | 109 |
| 3.   | Formal Shortcomings of the Regulatory Framework                | 110 |
| B.   | Efficacy of Disclosure: The ‘Spot the Compensation’ Game       | 112 |
| 1.   | Transparency as a Source of Shareholder Empowerment            | 112 |
| 2.   | Transparency as a Cause of Inefficient Remuneration            | 113 |
| a.   | In General   | 113 |
| b.   | Perks  | 113 |
| c.   | Options  | 114 |
| d.   | Pension Funds  | 115 |
| C.   | Transparency as a Problem                                      | 116 |
| 1.   | Political Costs of Transparency                                | 116 |
| 2.   | The Lake Wobegon Effect: All Managers are above Average        | 118 |
| 3.   | Transparency as a Norm-Setting Mechanism                       | 119 |
| a.   | Economic Function of Social Norms                              | 119 |
| b.   | Transparency as a Norm-Creating Device                         | 120 |
| c.   | The Expressive Function of Codes of Best Practice              | 121 |
| D.   | Conclusion   | 123 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <i>Table of Contents</i>  | xi  |
| IV. Revising the Governance of Executive Compensation                     | 123 |
| A. Current Governance of Executive Compensation: Managers and Politicians | 123 |
| B. The Solution: Empower Shareholders                                     | 125 |
| 1. Put Shareholders at the Centre of the Debate                           | 125 |
| 2. Shareholder Votes on Executive Compensation                            | 126 |
| V. Conclusion   | 128 |

## **Chapter 4**

### **Executive Compensation and Analyst Guidance: The Link between CEO Pay and Expectations Management** **137**

*Guido Bolliger and Manuel Kast*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| I. Introduction  | 137 |
| II. Review of the Literature   | 140 |
| III. Hypotheses on Expectations Management and Executive Compensation  | 141 |
| IV. Sample and Methodology   | 144 |
| A. Sample Selection  | 144 |
| B. Sample Selection  | 145 |
| C. Measuring CEO Compensation Components and Ownership Variables       | 148 |
| D. Control Variables   | 151 |
| E. Measuring the Impact of CEO Compensation on Expectations Management | 155 |
| V. Results   | 155 |
| A. CEO Compensation and Expectations Management                        | 155 |
| B. Sensitivity Tests   | 157 |
| C. Does the Market Figure it Out?                                      | 160 |
| VI. Conclusion   | 161 |

## **Chapter 5**

### **Investment Research: How to Solve Conflicts of Interest More Efficiently** **171**

*Sandro Abegglen*

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| I. How Serious are Conflicts of Interests – or: Why Did No One Cry ‘Foul’ Until as Recently as 1999? | 172 |
| A. General   | 172 |
| B. Did Sin Really Come So Late?  | 173 |
| C. Why Attention Now and Not Then?   | 174 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| II.  | The Applicable Swiss Rules as an Example of How Financial Analyst Conflicts of Interest Can be Addressed   | 175 |
| A.   | Preliminary Remark: Conflicts of Interest in Connection with Financial Research are Only One Example of the Numerous Conflicts of Interests Within Banks | 175 |
| B.   | Basic Principles for Solving Conflicts of Interest in Swiss Law  | 176 |
| 1.   | Statutory Basis: Art. 11 (1) Stock Exchange Act  | 176 |
| 2.   | Assurance of Proper Business Conduct ( <i>Gewährspflicht</i> ): An Important Principle in the Management of Conflicts of Interest                        | 177 |
| C.   | Implementation of These Principles   | 178 |
| 1.   | Code of Conduct for Securities Dealers   | 178 |
| 2.   | Directives on the Independence of Financial Research   | 179 |
| III. | Regulators' Typical Approach to Solving Conflicts of Interest  | 180 |
| A.   | Overview   | 180 |
| B.   | Instruments Most Often Used to Regulate Research Analysts' Conflicts of Interest   | 180 |
| C.   | Conclusion: Three-pillar Approach  | 181 |
| IV.  | Policy Thoughts and Proposals  | 182 |
| A.   | High Costs of Current Regulation   | 182 |
| B.   | Four Policy Proposals  | 183 |
| 1.   | First Proposal: Replace Excessive Rule-based Separation Requirements by Escalating Measures  | 183 |
| 2.   | Second Proposal: Differentiate Between Institutional (Sophisticated) and Retail Investors  | 184 |
| 3.   | Third Proposal: Allow Reputation Cost to Work  | 184 |
| 4.   | Fourth Proposal: Reward Analysts for High Quality Work   | 185 |

## Chapter 6

### Do Conflicts of Interest Affect Analysts' Forecasts and Recommendations? A Survey

187

*Michel Dubois and Pascal Dumontier*

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| I.  | The Nature and Magnitude of Conflicts of Interest in the Securities Analysis Industry | 188 |
| A.  | Who are Financial Analysts and What Do They Do?                                       | 188 |
| B.  | How Do Conflicts of Interest Arise?   | 189 |
| C.  | Can Economic Incentives Mitigate Conflicts of Interest?                               | 190 |
| II. | Analyst Forecasts and Recommendations Surrounding Stock Issues                        | 191 |
| A.  | Studies on Short-term Earnings Forecasts  | 192 |
| B.  | Studies Focusing on Investment Recommendations  | 193 |
| C.  | Studies Focusing on Long-term Earnings Growth Forecasts                               | 196 |



|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| III. | The Magnitude of the Optimism Bias in Investment Bank Forecasts and Recommendations | 196 |
|      | A. Who Produces Investment Research?  | 197 |
|      | B. Studies Focusing on Short-term Earnings Forecasts                                | 197 |
|      | C. Studies Focusing on Investment Recommendations                                   | 198 |
| IV.  | Additional Results Relating to Analyst Conflicts of Interest                        | 200 |
|      | A. Do Analysts Issue Biased Research in Order to Generate Trades?                   | 200 |
|      | B. Is the Market for Financial Research Segmented?                                  | 201 |
|      | C. Do Upward Biased Forecasts Generate Business for Investment Banks?               | 203 |
| V.   | Does Analyst Regulation Help Mitigate Conflicts of Interest?                        | 204 |
|      | A. The Main Features of the New Regulation  | 204 |
|      | B. The Empirical Evidence Relating to the New Regulations                           | 205 |
| VI.  | Conclusion  | 206 |

**Chapter 7**

**Regulation of Financial Analysts: An Illustration of the Current Trends in Financial Market Law** **211**

*Jean-Baptiste Zufferey*

|      |                                |     |
|------|--------------------------------|-----|
| I.   | Abstract                       | 213 |
| II.  | Formal Elements                | 214 |
|      | A. ‘Regulatory Shower’         | 214 |
|      | B. Reactive Regulation         | 216 |
|      | C. Over-Regulation?            | 218 |
|      | D. Self-Regulation             | 220 |
| III. | Material Elements              | 221 |
|      | A. Inter-Regulation            | 221 |
|      | B. Threefold Objective         | 222 |
|      | C. Function Versus Institution | 224 |
|      | D. Disclosure                  | 225 |

**Chapter 8**

**Conflicts of Interest in Research: Independence of Financial Analysts – The Costs and Benefits of Regulation** **227**

*Leo Th. Schruft and Stefan Wieler*

|    |  |     |
|----|--|-----|
| I. | Introduction                             | 228 |
|    | A. History                               | 228 |
|    | B. Why is There Still Research?          | 229 |
|    | C. Effect of Increased Costs on Research | 230 |
|    | 1. Analysis of Costs                     | 230 |
|    | 2. Analysis of Potential Benefits        | 230 |

|           |  |     |
|-----------|--|-----|
| II.       | Our Survey on Conflicts of Interest in Research          | 231 |
| A.        | The Questionnaire for Portfolio Managers                 | 231 |
| 1.        | General Questions About the Interviewee and the Employer | 231 |
| 2.        | Disclosure Requirements                                  | 232 |
| 3.        | Proprietary Trading by Financial Analysts                | 232 |
| 4.        | Independence of Research                                 | 233 |
| 5.        | Value of Research  | 233 |
| 6.        | General Questions  | 234 |
| 7.        | Additional Questions                                     | 235 |
| B.        | The Questionnaire for Financial Analysts                 | 235 |
| 1.        | General Questions About the Interviewee and the Employer | 235 |
| 2.        | Additional Working Time and Effort Due to the Directives | 236 |
| 3.        | Material, Non-Public Information                         | 237 |
| 4.        | Proprietary Trading by Financial Analysts                | 237 |
| 5.        | Attractiveness of the Profession                         | 238 |
| 6.        | Quality of Research from the Analysts' Viewpoint         | 238 |
| 7.        | General Questions  | 239 |
| 8.        | Additional Questions                                     | 239 |
| C.        | Conclusion of the Questionnaire                          | 239 |
| III.      | Tables   | 240 |
| Table 1.  | Questionnaire for Portfolio Managers                     | 240 |
| Table 2.  | Disclosure Requirements                                  | 243 |
| Table 3.  | Proprietary Trading by Financial Analysts                | 244 |
| Table 4.  | Independence of Research                                 | 245 |
| Table 5.  | Value of Research  | 246 |
| Table 6.  | General Questions  | 247 |
| Table 7.  | Additional Question                                      | 248 |
| Table 8.  | Questionnaire for Financial Analysts                     | 249 |
| Table 9.  | Additional Effort Due to the Directives                  | 252 |
| Table 10. | Material, Non-public Information                         | 253 |
| Table 11. | Proprietary Trading by Financial Analysts                | 254 |
| Table 12. | Attractiveness of the Profession                         | 255 |
| Table 13. | Quality of Research from the Point of View of Analysts   | 256 |
| Table 14. | General Questions  | 257 |
| Table 15. | Additional Questions                                     | 258 |

## Chapter 9

### **Conflicts of Interest, Especially in Asset Management** **261**

*Eddy Wymeersch*

|     |  |     |
|-----|--|-----|
| I.  | Conflicts of Interest are Inevitable in Financial Services         | 261 |
| II. | Provisions in the EU Directives Dealing with Conflicts of Interest | 262 |

|      |   |     |
|------|---|-----|
| III. | Why Worry about Conflicts of Interest in Financial Services, Especially Asset Management? | 266 |
| IV.  | Structural Aspects of Conflicts of Interest in the Field of Asset Management              | 269 |
|      | A. Regulation   | 269 |
|      | B. Factual Developments   | 270 |

## Chapter 10

### Conflicts of Interest in Institutional Asset Management: Is the EU Regulatory Approach Adequate?

277

*Marc Kruithof*

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| I.   | Conflicts of Interest in General   | 278 |
|      | A. Conflicts of Interest as a Legal Concept                                      | 278 |
|      | B. Conflicts of Interest as an Economic Agency Problem                           | 283 |
| II.  | Conflicts of Interest in Asset Management  | 288 |
|      | A. The Inevitability of Conflicts of Interest in Institutional Asset Management  | 288 |
|      | B. Possible Conflicts of Interest for Portfolio Managers                         | 290 |
|      | 1. Conflicts Because the Manager has Several Clients                             | 290 |
|      | 2. Conflicts Because the Manager Also Offers Other Services                      | 292 |
|      | 3. Conflicts Because the Manager has a Stake in the Consequences of Transactions | 296 |
|      | C. Resulting Agency Costs for Asset Management                                   | 296 |
|      | 1. Adverse Impact on the Interests of the (Small?) Investor                      | 297 |
|      | 2. Undermining Capital Market Integrity  | 300 |
|      | 3. Suboptimal Asset Management Market Efficiency                                 | 302 |
| III. | Legal Approaches to Conflicts of Interest in Asset Management                    | 303 |
|      | A. Imposing Fiduciary Duties   | 303 |
|      | B. Regulatory Conduct of Business Rules  | 307 |
|      | 1. A Regulatory General Standard of Loyalty                                      | 307 |
|      | 2. Imposing Specific Rules for Asset Management Services?                        | 311 |
|      | 3. Specific Requirements of Suitability of Investment Decisions                  | 314 |
|      | 4. Client Order Handling Rules   | 317 |
|      | C. Specific Measures to Tackle Conflicts of Interest                             | 319 |
|      | 1. Which Conflicts of Interest?  | 320 |
|      | 2. Structural and Organizational Measures  | 322 |
|      | 3. Disclosure Requirements   | 324 |
| IV.  | Some Concluding Remarks  | 331 |

**Chapter 11****Conflicts of Interest in the Distribution of Investment Funds 337***Luc Thévenoz*

|       |  |     |
|-------|--|-----|
| I.    | General Context  | 338 |
| II.   | Remuneration of Fund Distributors – an Overview              | 341 |
| III.  | Conflicts of Interest at Two Levels                          | 343 |
|       | A. Fund Providers  | 343 |
|       | 1. Duty of Loyalty   | 344 |
|       | 2. Retrocession Paid by Fund Providers on the Management Fee | 345 |
|       | 3. Retrocession Paid by Fund Providers on Entry Fees         | 346 |
|       | B. Fund Distributors   | 347 |
|       | 1. Duty of Loyalty   | 347 |
|       | 2. Retrocession Received by Fund Distributors                | 348 |
| IV.   | Possible Solutions   | 349 |
|       | A. Prohibiting Retrocessions                                 | 349 |
|       | B. Regulating the Level of Retrocessions                     | 351 |
|       | C. Disclosing Retrocessions to Investors                     | 352 |
| V.    | The Case for Disclosure                                      | 355 |
| VI.   | The Case Against Disclosure                                  | 357 |
| VII.  | Who is to Disclose What, When, and How?                      | 358 |
| VIII. | Is Disclosure Enough?  | 360 |

**Chapter 12****United States Mutual Fund Investors, Their Managers and Distributors 363***Tamar Frankel*

|     |   |     |
|-----|---|-----|
| I.  | Conflicts of Interest in the United States: What They Mean and When They Matter                                   | 364 |
|     | A. When Does Fiduciary Law Arise?   | 364 |
|     | 1. The Issue of the Fiduciaries' Fees   | 367 |
|     | 2. The Contest of Categories  | 367 |
|     | 3. The Prohibition on Conflicts of Interest   | 368 |
| II. | An Overview of Mutual Funds and Their Promoters   | 370 |
|     | A. The Two Parental Promoters   | 370 |
|     | B. The Structure of United States Mutual Funds and the Managers' role, Status, and Incentives                     | 371 |
|     | 1. Managers' Incentives Focus on the Sale of Their Mutual Funds Shares, as Compared to Trustees of Private Trusts | 372 |
|     | C. The Managers Manage Funds as Chief Executive Officers  | 372 |
|     | D. Solicitors   | 374 |

|      |  |     |
|------|--|-----|
| E.   | The Distributors' Role, Status and Incentives  | 374 |
| F.   | Distributors' Compensation   | 375 |
| G.   | The Alliance: What Managers Want From Distributors and What Distributors Want in Return                              | 375 |
| H.   | Give-Ups and Their Evolution   | 376 |
| 1.   | Revenue Sharing  | 377 |
| III. | Managers and Investors' Conflicts of Interest: The Sale of Fund Securities and Allocation of Fund Brokerage Business | 377 |
| A.   | Misappropriation of the Discounts  | 378 |
| B.   | Poor Execution of Trades   | 378 |
| C.   | Increased Turnover (Churning)  | 379 |
| D.   | Disadvantages of Fund Size   | 379 |
| E.   | Misappropriation of Economies of Scale   | 379 |
| F.   | Even Direct Cash to Distributors Comes from the Investors' Pockets   | 379 |
| G.   | Opening the Doors to Other Abuses: The Issue of Culture  | 379 |
| H.   | The Law's Response   | 380 |
| I.   | Another Crack in the Wall: Permissible Soft Dollars Arrangements   | 380 |
| J.   | The Dilemma: The Commodity Contract Approach   | 381 |
| 1.   | One Case   | 382 |
| IV.  | Theoretical Models for Managers to Address Managers' Conflicts of Interest   | 384 |
| A.   | What is Wrong with Rewarding Managers with Benefits from Their Control over Investors' Money?                        | 384 |
| B.   | The Disclosure and Implied Consent Solution  | 386 |
| C.   | Mutual Funds as Businesses   | 387 |
| D.   | Theoretical Frames for Remedies on Conflicts of Interest   | 388 |
| E.   | Mutual Funds Regulation Adopted Both Responses to Conflicts of Interest  | 389 |
| F.   | The Change in Judicial Interpretation of Statutes  | 390 |
| G.   | The Puzzle and the Danger  | 391 |
| H.   | Is the Concern About Conflicts of Interests Described in This Paper Exaggerated?                                     | 392 |
| I.   | The Issue of Culture   | 392 |
| V.   | Conclusion   | 393 |