

# CONTENTS

<i>Acknowledgments</i>	viii
<i>Foreword</i>	ix
<i>Preface</i>	xi
<b>Introduction</b> Situating the Questions in Early Patristic Christology	xix
A. Biblical Basis	xix
B. Early Patristic Development	xxi
<b>Chapter One</b> Nicea's Homoousion: Defining God's Begetting and Becoming	3
A. The Trinitarian Question	4
1. Arius	4
2. Nicea and Athanasius	10
B. The Christological Question	16
1. Arius	17
2. Athanasius	20
3. Apollinaris	25
4. The Inadequacy of the Logos/Sarx Framework	30
<b>Chapter Two</b> Chalcedonian Christology: "Become" as Personal/Existential	32
A. Antioch and Alexandria	32
B. The School of Antioch: Nestorius	34
C. Cyril of Alexandria	46
D. Ephesus and the Aftermath	58
E. The Council of Chalcedon	63
<b>Chapter Three</b> Thomistic Christology: "Become" as a Mixed Relation	67
A. Anselm of Canterbury	67
B. St. Thomas Aquinas	71
1. The Nature of Theology	72
2. God: Actus Purus and Immutability and Impassibility	74
3. Christ: God Subsisting as Man	82
4. Mixed Relations: e.g., Creation	88
5. A Mixed Relation: The Incarnation	96
6. The Passibility of God as Man	98

<b>Chapter Four</b>	Kenotic Christology:	
	"Become" as Compositional	101
	A. Luther and Lutheran Christology	101
	B. English Kenotic Christology:	
	Charles Gore and Frank Weston	110
	C. The Failure of Kenotic Christology	114
<b>Chapter Five</b>	Process Christology:	
	"Become" as Prehension	124
	A. The Critique of Classical Theism	125
	B. Process Theology and Christology	129
	C. The Viability of Process Theology and Christology	140
<b>Chapter Six</b>	Contemporary Catholic Christology:	
	"Become" as Dynamically Present	154
	A. Hans Kung	154
	B. Karl Rahner	163
	C. Jean Galot	174
<b>Conclusion</b>		187
<i>Indexes</i>		191
	1. Names of Authors	193
	2. Analytical Index	197
<i>Bibliography</i>		201