

# Table of Contents

*Ken Oliphant and Richard W. Wright*

## **Medical Malpractice and Compensation in Global Perspective:**

<b>Introduction</b>	1
I. Issues Addressed	2
A. Overall Scheme	2
B. Details of the Applicable Liability and Compensation Systems	3
C. Empirical Data	4
D. Attitudes, Concerns, and Prospects	4
II. Aims	4

*Bernhard A. Koch*

## **Medical Malpractice in Austria**

<b>Introduction</b>	7
I. The Insurance Framework	8
A. Social Insurance in Austria	8
B. The Role of Private Insurance	9
1. First-Party Insurance	9
2. Liability Insurance	10
II. The Regulatory Framework	10
A. Professional Law	10
B. Criminal Law	11
C. Contract Law	12
D. Tortious and Contractual Liability	13
1. Tortious and Contractual Liability Not Mutually Exclusive	13
2. Tort Law in General	14
3. Damage	14
4. Causation	14
5. Wrongfulness and Fault	17
6. Multiple Persons Involved	18
7. Informed Consent	21
8. Remedies	24
III. Compensation claims in practice	28
A. General Remarks	28
B. Patient Advocacies	29
C. Conciliation Bodies	30

D. Compensation Funds . . . . .	31
E. Outlook . . . . .	32

*Eduardo Dantas*

<b>A Bridge over troubled Waters: The Development of Medical Malpractice Litigation in Brazil . . . . .</b>	<b>35</b>
Introduction . . . . .	35
I. The Brazilian Legal System . . . . .	39
II. The Structure of the Brazilian Legal System . . . . .	40
III. The influence of the Consumer's Defense Code . . . . .	43
A. Informed Consent, the Duty to Inform, and Informed Choice . . . . .	45
B. Moral Damages and the Inversion of the Burden of Proof As Patient's Basic Rights . . . . .	49
IV. The Changes Brought by the New Civil Code . . . . .	50
Conclusion . . . . .	52

*Colleen M. Flood and Bryan Thomas*

<b>Canadian Medical Malpractice Law in 2011: Missing the Mark on Patient Safety . . . . .</b>	<b>55</b>
Introduction . . . . .	55
I. The Canadian Malpractice Context . . . . .	57
A. Service Delivery, Regulation and Liability Insurance . . . . .	59
II. State and Quality of the Canadian Health Care System . . . . .	60
A. Medical Malpractice in the Domain of Privately Financed Care . . . . .	66
III. Redressing Adverse Events through the Courts . . . . .	67
A. Canadian Medical Malpractice Liability in Context . . . . .	69
B. Empirical Trends on Medical Liability Claims . . . . .	70
C. Black Letter of Canadian Tort Law . . . . .	72
1. Battery by Physicians . . . . .	73
2. Negligence by Physicians . . . . .	73
3. Hospital Liability . . . . .	81
4. Government Liability . . . . .	83
5. Damages . . . . .	84
6. Ongoing Issues and Avenues of Reform . . . . .	86
IV. Preventing Adverse Events: Professional and System Reform . . . . .	87
A. Reforms at the Level of the Professions . . . . .	88
1. Alternative Complaint Mechanisms . . . . .	88
2. Revalidation/Recertification . . . . .	89
3. Apology Legislation . . . . .	90
B. Systemic Reforms . . . . .	91
1. Accreditation of Facilities . . . . .	91
2. Canadian Patient Safety Institute . . . . .	92
3. Improved Information Gathering and Dissemination . . . . .	92
Conclusion . . . . .	94

# Table of Contents

*Zhu Wang and Ken Oliphant*

## **Yangge Dance: the Rhythm of Liability for Medical Malpractice in the People's Republic of China . . . . .**

97

### **Introduction . . . . .**

97

#### **I. The Wider Healthcare Context . . . . .**

99

#### **II. The Early P.R. China: Medical Services as Social Welfare (1949–1987) . . . . .**

102

#### **III. The Administrative Liability Regime . . . . .**

105

##### **A. The Medical Accident Rules 1987 . . . . .**

105

###### **1. Context . . . . .**

105

###### **2. Main Features of the Administrative Liability Regime, 1987–2002 . . . . .**

106

##### **B. The Medical Accident Regulations 2002 . . . . .**

108

###### **1. Context . . . . .**

108

###### **2. Main Features of the Administrative Liability Regime, 2002 onwards . . . . .**

108

#### **IV. The Tort Liability Regime . . . . .**

111

##### **A. Recourse to the General Principles of Civil Liability . . . . .**

111

##### **B. Elements of the Tort Liability Regime . . . . .**

113

###### **1. Cause of Action . . . . .**

113

###### **2. Burden of Proof . . . . .**

113

###### **3. The Identification Process . . . . .**

114

###### **4. Assessment of Damages . . . . .**

115

##### **C. Effect on the Administrative Liability Regime . . . . .**

116

#### **V. Reform of Medical Liability under the Tort Liability Law of 2009 . . . . .**

117

##### **A. Antecedents . . . . .**

117

###### **1. Increasing Disputes between Doctors and Patients . . . . .**

117

###### **2. Concerns about Defensive Medical Treatments . . . . .**

118

###### **3. The Chaos Resulting from the Dual System of Medical Liability . . . . .**

119

##### **B. Legislative History . . . . .**

120

##### **C. Four Dimensions of the TLL . . . . .**

121

###### **1. Basis of the Cause of Action . . . . .**

121

###### **2. Burden of Proof . . . . .**

124

###### **3. The Identification Procedure . . . . .**

126

###### **4. Assessment of Damages . . . . .**

126

#### **VI. Another Step Backwards on Its Way? . . . . .**

127

### **Conclusion . . . . .**

130