

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------|------|
| <i>Table of Abbreviations</i> | xiii |
| <i>List of Contributors</i> | xvii |

| | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| <i>Lila Barrera-Hernández, Barry Barton, Lee Godden, Alastair Lucas, and Anita Rønne</i> | |
| I. A new phase in the law | 1 |
| II. Drivers of change | 4 |
| III. Four concepts: costs, benefits, sharing, and community | 6 |
| IV. Themes and content of the book | 12 |
| V. Conclusion | 21 |

PART I. THEMES AND LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2. Community and Sharing | 25 |
| <i>Barry Barton and Michael Goldsmith</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 25 |
| II. Aspects of community specific to resources, energy, and environment | 27 |
| III. The community in political and legal theory | 29 |
| IV. Community governance and community power | 32 |
| V. The state | 33 |
| VI. Groups of identity and culture | 35 |
| VII. Indigenous community | 36 |
| VIII. New legal mechanisms | 38 |
| IX. Conclusions | 40 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 3. Regional and Local Energy Communities—A European Union Perspective on Community Benefits | 41 |
| <i>Iñigo del Guayo</i> | |
| I. Introduction—energy, societies, and communities | 41 |
| II. Energy communities | 42 |
| III. The EU Committee of the Regions | 47 |
| IV. Investment in the energy sector and energy communities | 51 |
| V. Conclusions | 56 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4. Shared International Responsibility for Transboundary Harm Arising from Energy Activities | 59 |
| <i>Catherine Redgwell</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 59 |
| II. What is 'shared' responsibility? | 60 |
| III. Energy contexts in which shared responsibility might arise | 63 |
| IV. Shared responsibility between public and private energy actors | 69 |
| V. Conclusion | 72 |
| 5. Indigenous Peoples and Free, Prior, and Informed Consent in Latin America | 75 |
| <i>Lila Barrera-Hernández</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 75 |
| II. Understanding FPIC | 75 |
| III. Practical implementation in Latin America—defining the 'FPIC community' | 81 |
| IV. FPIC outcomes, challenges, and lessons learned in Latin America | 88 |
| V. Conclusion | 92 |
| 6. Corporate Social Responsibility in the Mining Industries of Namibia, South Africa, and Zambia: Choices and Consequences | 93 |
| <i>Hanri Mostert, Kangwa-Musole Chisanga, Janine Howard, Fatima Mandhu, Meyer van den Berg, and Cheri-Leigh Young</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 93 |
| II. Concepts and motivations | 94 |
| III. Enabling frameworks | 96 |
| IV. Indicators of corporate social responsibility | 98 |
| V. Insights and conclusions | 110 |
| 7. Social Licence to Operate in the Unconventional Oil and Gas Development Sector The Colorado Experience | 113 |
| <i>Don C. Smith</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 113 |
| II. The development of unconventional oil and gas in Colorado | 114 |
| III. Social licence to operate | 123 |
| IV. Conclusion | 130 |

PART II.
LEGAL MEASURES AND MECHANISMS
TO DISTRIBUTE COSTS AND BENEFITS

| | |
|--|-----|
| 8. Agreements with Indigenous Communities The Native Title Act in Australia | 135 |
| <i>Lee Carol Godden and Lily O'Neill</i> | |
| I. Introduction: The rise of agreement-making | 135 |
| II. Participation of indigenous communities | 136 |
| III. Models for managing impacts and benefits in Australia | 139 |
| IV. Agreements governing impacts and benefits | 143 |
| V. The case studies: A tale of two LNG projects | 145 |
| VI. The role of the native title act | 148 |
| VII. Conclusion: Sharing impacts and benefits as a basis for inclusion | 152 |
| 9. Community Benefit Agreements for Wind Farm Siting in Context | 155 |
| <i>LeRoy C. Paddock and Max Greenblum</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 156 |
| II. Concerns about wind facility siting | 156 |
| III. The emergence of community benefits agreements in the United States | 160 |
| IV. CBAs for wind energy siting | 164 |
| V. CBAs as part of a comprehensive approach to wind facility siting | 167 |
| VI. Conclusion | 170 |
| 10. Opposition to Wind Farms and the Possible Responses of the Legal System | 173 |
| <i>Anita Rønne</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 173 |
| II. Case studies | 175 |
| III. Reasons for public opposition to wind farms | 176 |
| IV. Legal means of reducing opposition to wind farms | 177 |
| V. Ensuring that public authorities maintain integrity and control | 189 |
| VI. Best practice and conclusions | 190 |
| 11. The Position of Citizens in Energy Production in the Netherlands: Is a New Approach Emerging? | 193 |
| <i>Martha M. Roggenkamp</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 193 |
| II. A New Role for Citizens in Onshore Gas Production | 194 |
| III. Citizens' involvement in renewable energy production | 199 |
| IV. Conclusion | 205 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 12. Liability for Oil Spills from Oil and Gas Operations in Canada's Arctic Waters | 207 |
| <i>Nigel Bankes and Astrid Kalkbrenner</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 207 |
| II. Deepwater Horizon, Gulf of Mexico | 209 |
| III. Liability and assurance issues | 210 |
| IV. Conclusions | 222 |
| 13. Broadening Community and Regional Benefits from Energy Development through Environmental Restoration Funds | 223 |
| <i>Nonye Opara</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 223 |
| II. Rationale for environmental restoration | 225 |
| III. Nature of environment funds | 226 |
| IV. The case for environmental restoration funds | 232 |
| V. Alternative mechanisms for managing environmental expenditure | 234 |
| VI. Changing environmental frameworks to facilitate the creation of environmental restoration funds | 236 |
| VII. Conclusion | 238 |
| 14. Social and Environmental Liability of Private Companies in the Energy Sector and the Mexican Energy Reform | 241 |
| <i>José Juan González Márquez</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 241 |
| II. Mexican energy reform | 242 |
| III. The new environmental liability regime | 244 |
| IV. Social impact assessment of energy projects | 249 |
| V. Conclusion | 258 |
| 15. Resource Control and Benefit Sharing in Nigeria | 259 |
| <i>Yinka Omorogbe</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 259 |
| II. Nigeria | 261 |
| III. Resource control | 265 |
| IV. Benefit sharing | 271 |
| V. Community participation in Nigeria and other jurisdictions | 273 |
| VI. Governance and benefit sharing | 276 |
| VII. Conclusion | 276 |
| 16. Oil and Natural Gas Royalties and Social Development in Brazil | 279 |
| <i>Yanko Marcius de Alençar Xavier and Anderson Souza da Silva Lanzillo</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 279 |
| II. The system of oil and gas royalties in Brazilian law | 281 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. Financial legislation and general limitations to the use of royalty revenues in Brazil | 285 |
| IV. Use of royalties for social development in Brazil | 289 |
| V. Final considerations | 293 |

PART III.

PARTICIPATORY RIGHTS AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

| | |
|--|-----|
| 17. Community Benefit through Community Ownership of Renewable Generation in Scotland: Power to the People? | 297 |
| <i>Aileen McHarg</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 297 |
| II. Community benefit and community ownership | 299 |
| III. Renewable energy and land reform in Scotland | 305 |
| IV. Community ownership and energy democracy | 312 |
| V. Conclusion | 315 |
| 18. Enabling the Crowdfunding of Energy Projects: The Regulation of Small-scale Community Financing in Europe | 317 |
| <i>Catherine Banet</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 317 |
| II. Benefits and challenges in crowdfunding energy projects | 319 |
| III. Crowdfunding typology and adequacy for energy projects | 322 |
| IV. National and EU policy and regulatory approaches | 324 |
| V. Legal challenges for community involvement in crowdfunded energy projects | 329 |
| VI. Conclusion | 337 |
| 19. Participatory Rights and Strategic Litigation Benefits: Forcing and Endowment Protection in Canadian Natural Resource Development | 339 |
| <i>Alastair R. Lucas</i> | |
| I. The chapter | 339 |
| II. Focus cases | 339 |
| III. Theory | 341 |
| IV. Forcing benefits | 342 |
| V. Jurisdictional and process hurdles | 345 |
| VI. Conclusions | 354 |
| 20. Participation of Territorial Authorities in Mining Activities in Colombia | 355 |
| <i>Milton Fernando Montoya</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 355 |
| II. Constitutional regime on mining and local authority | 355 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| III. Legal, judicial, and regulatory treatment of local authorities' participation in the development of a mining project | 359 |
| IV. Criticism of the current regulations | 368 |
| V. Conclusion | 369 |
| 21. Legal Regulation of NIMBY Conflict in China | 371 |
| <i>Wang Mingyuan and Li Bin</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 371 |
| II. Basic concepts and theoretical framework of NIMBY conflict | 372 |
| III. Legal regulation of NIMBY conflict | 378 |
| IV. <i>Status quo</i> and shortcomings | 382 |
| V. Improvement of China's legal regulation of NIMBY conflicts | 390 |
| VI. Conclusions | 391 |
| 22. Public Participation in Indian Environmental Law | 393 |
| <i>Lavanya Rajamani and Shibani Ghosh</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 393 |
| II. Backdrop and context | 394 |
| III. Public participation under the Environment (Protection) Act 1986 | 398 |
| IV. Forest governance in India | 405 |
| V. Conclusion | 408 |
| 23. Small Towns, Big Projects | 411 |
| <i>Donald N. Zillman, Simon Beirne, and Elizabeth Elsbach</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 411 |
| II. Maine Yankee | 412 |
| III. The Intermountain Power Project (IPP) | 420 |
| IV. Some observations | 428 |
| 24. Conclusion | 429 |
| <i>Lila Barrera-Hernández, Barry Barton, Lee Godden, Alastair Lucas, and Anita Rønne</i> | |
| <i>Index</i> | 435 |