

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	ix
<b>Chapter 1. Prelude: Fun, Play, Game, Ludus... A Survey of Game Theories</b> . . . . .	1
1.1. Animal play, human play. . . . .	2
1.2. Theories of human play. . . . .	6
1.2.1. Precursors . . . . .	6
1.2.2. Differentiation between game structure and ludic attitude . . . . .	14
1.3. Play as potential and intermediate space. . . . .	16
1.3.1. Winnicott and play as “potential space” . . . . .	16
1.3.2. Bateson and the question of “frame” . . . . .	19
1.3.3. Goffman’s analysis of frame . . . . .	21
1.4. The concept of play today . . . . .	27
1.4.1. The current syntheses of a definition of play. . . . .	27
1.4.2. Brougère’s characteristics of play . . . . .	28
1.4.3. The link with learning . . . . .	33
<b>Chapter 2. Games in Business</b> . . . . .	35
2.1. Relations between games and work: an apparent incongruity . . . . .	35
2.1.1. A variety of ways to address the relations between games and work in the social and human sciences. . . . .	39
2.2. The game in business: returning to a typology . . . . .	43
2.2.1. Challenges . . . . .	45
2.2.2. Simulation games. . . . .	49

2.2.3. “Real-life scenarios” or “role-playing games” during training . . . . .	50
2.2.4. “Reversal days” or “Try my job” . . . . .	53
2.2.5. Business theater . . . . .	55
2.2.6. Serious games . . . . .	56
2.2.7. Traditional games (board games, cards, Kapla and Lego blocks, murder party, etc.) introduced in work contexts . . . . .	62
2.3. On the field of games in business: simulation and role-playing games . . . . .	65
2.3.1. The games analyzed . . . . .	66
2.4. ...Is it a game? . . . . .	88

### **Chapter 3. Performativity of the Game: Games and the Structuring of Experience . . . . . 97**

3.1. From the reality of work to the fictionality of games . . . . .	97
3.1.1. The ambiguity of games: from the “not for real” to the untruth. . . . .	100
3.1.2. From double assertion to mediation . . . . .	103
3.1.3. The liminality of the game . . . . .	105
3.1.4. “Belief forged within immersion” . . . . .	106
3.2. A role to play . . . . .	109
3.2.1. Role understood as status . . . . .	110
3.2.2. Deframing/reframing: role as technique . . . . .	112
3.3. Asymmetrical reversals: what happens to social relations in the game? . . . . .	118
3.3.1. Terms of reversal . . . . .	119
3.3.2. Limits of reversal . . . . .	120
3.3.3. Taking another’s place up to what point? . . . . .	122
3.3.4. Changing place, changing view? . . . . .	124
3.3.5. Carnival and order . . . . .	126
3.4. The game as an operating structure and the performativity of the game . . . . .	129
3.4.1. An experience without consequences? . . . . .	133
3.4.2. Training for reflexivity, flexibility and exploration . . . . .	135
3.4.3. Performance linked to self-realization. . . . .	137
3.4.4. The power to speak granted by the fictional frame . . . . .	140

3.4.5. Promoting learning . . . . . 142

3.4.6. The naturalization of rules and norms . . . . . 145

**Conclusion.** . . . . . 151

**Bibliography.** . . . . . 155

**Index** . . . . . 171