

CONTENTS

Part I Understanding the Institutionalization of the ICC

1	Introduction	3
1.1	<i>Negotiations on the Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court</i>	5
1.2	<i>The International Criminal Court</i>	11
1.3	<i>Normative Binding and the Institutionalization of the ICC</i>	15
1.4	<i>Ratification of the Rome Statute and the Obama Effect</i>	17
1.5	<i>Research Design</i>	21
1.6	<i>Plan of the Book</i>	25
	<i>References</i>	27
2	Theorizing the Institutionalization of the International Criminal Court	31
2.1	<i>Theoretical Explanations for the International Criminal Court</i>	31
2.1.1	<i>Defining the ICC</i>	31
2.1.2	<i>Power and the Emergence of the ICC</i>	33
2.1.3	<i>Joint Gains as Motivation for the Establishment of the ICC</i>	35
2.1.4	<i>ICC as a Result of Socialization</i>	37
2.2	<i>Normative Binding</i>	39

2.2.1	<i>Normative Binding as a Reaction to the USA's Power After the Cold War</i>	39
2.2.2	<i>Rationality, Legitimacy, and Legality of International Institutions</i>	42
2.2.3	<i>The Binder: Legitimacy and Credibility as Sources of Influence</i>	44
2.2.4	<i>Strategies of Binding</i>	46
2.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	48
	<i>References</i>	50

Part II Normative Binding and the Institutionalization of the International Criminal Court

3	Germany and International Criminal Law	59
3.1	<i>The Evil Itself: Germany and International Criminal Law After the First World War</i>	59
3.2	<i>Nuremberg Trials</i>	61
3.3	<i>From Denial Toward Cooperation: First Decades After Nuremberg</i>	63
3.4	<i>Confronting the Past: Positive Outcome of a Rule of Law Crisis</i>	66
3.5	<i>Commitment to International Law</i>	69
3.6	<i>Binding Attempts at the Negotiations on the Rome Statute</i>	72
3.7	<i>Setting a Legalist Example: Ratification and Implementation of the Rome Statute</i>	78
3.8	<i>Making the ICC a Binding Institution: Promotion of the Rome Statute</i>	81
3.9	<i>Conclusions: From an Outlaw to a Binder</i>	85
	<i>References</i>	88
4	The USA and the International Criminal Court	97
4.1	<i>Beacon of International Justice</i>	97
4.2	<i>The Idea of an ICC Within the Genocide Convention</i>	99
4.3	<i>From Hesitance to Assertive Multilateralism: New Hope for International Criminal Law in the 1990s</i>	100
4.4	<i>Clinton's Rationalist Agenda on the ICC</i>	103

4.5	<i>The Defeat in Rome</i>	106
4.6	<i>Making the Best Out of the Defeat</i>	110
4.7	<i>Bush Administration's Campaign Against the ICC at the UN</i>	113
4.8	<i>Protecting National Interests Through Coercion</i>	116
4.8.1	<i>American Service-Members' Protection Act and Article 98 of the Rome Statute</i>	116
4.8.2	<i>Worldwide Run for Bilateral Immunity Agreements</i>	118
4.8.3	<i>Gradual Change of Mind</i>	122
4.9	<i>Cooperative Turn: The Obama Effect</i>	124
4.10	<i>Conclusions</i>	126
	<i>References</i>	128

5	The European Union's Support for the International Criminal Court	137
5.1	<i>Creating a New, Just Dimension of International Relations: European Union at the Negotiations on the Rome Statute</i>	137
5.2	<i>Law and Justice for the World: The Struggle on the ICC</i>	141
5.2.1	<i>Europe and the Bilateral Immunity Agreements</i>	144
5.3	<i>The European Union's Universal Campaign in Support of the ICC</i>	147
5.4	<i>Making the ICC Normatively Binding</i>	152
5.5	<i>Conclusions: The EU's Normative Binding Agenda</i>	155
5.6	<i>Conclusions on Part II</i>	161
	<i>References</i>	162

Part III Can Money Buy International Justice?

6	Explaining Late Ratification of the Rome Statute	171
6.1	<i>Ratification Decision</i>	171
6.2	<i>Liberal Explanations</i>	174
6.2.1	<i>Positive Influence</i>	175
6.2.2	<i>Hindering Aspects</i>	179
6.3	<i>Reflectivist Explanations</i>	183
6.3.1	<i>ICC as a Result of Diffusion</i>	183

6.3.2	<i>Persuasion</i>	184
6.4	<i>External Influence and Late Ratifications of the Rome Statute</i>	185
6.4.1	<i>Dependence Networks</i>	185
6.5	<i>Conclusions</i>	186
	<i>References</i>	189
7	The Philippines' Late Ratification of the Rome Statute	195
7.1	<i>The Philippines and International Criminal Law</i>	195
7.2	<i>Liberal Explanations for Late Ratification</i>	197
7.2.1	<i>The Executive Versus The Legislative</i>	197
7.2.2	<i>The Ministries: Proponents and Opponents</i>	200
7.2.3	<i>The Executive, Again: Conflict and Violence as Reasons for Non-ratification</i>	203
7.3	<i>External Pressure on the Philippines</i>	205
7.3.1	<i>The United States' Influence</i>	205
7.3.2	<i>Gentle Push from Europe</i>	209
7.4	<i>Socialization and Non-ratification</i>	212
7.4.1	<i>Power of Civil Society and Persuasion</i>	212
7.4.2	<i>Norm Diffusion and Common Identities in the Region of Laggards</i>	214
7.5	<i>The Timing of the Ratification</i>	216
7.5.1	<i>Why Now and Not Earlier or Later?</i>	218
7.6	<i>Conclusions</i>	221
	<i>References</i>	224
8	Why Indonesia Has Not Joined the ICC?	235
8.1	<i>Indonesia at the Negotiations on the Rome Statute</i>	235
8.2	<i>Liberal Explanations for Non-ratification</i>	237
8.2.1	<i>Potential Legal Obstacles</i>	237
8.2.2	<i>Empty Promises of the Executive</i>	238
8.2.3	<i>Ministries' Differing Agendas on the ICC</i>	242
8.2.4	<i>The Not-So-Transparent Legislative</i>	246
8.2.5	<i>History of Human Rights Violations</i>	248
8.3	<i>Unsuccessful Attempts to Influence Indonesia</i>	251
8.3.1	<i>Indonesian Foreign Policy Tradition: Go to Hell with Your Aid!</i>	251

8.3.2	<i>Indonesia and the BIA Campaign of the Bush Administration</i>	253
8.3.3	<i>Falling on Deaf Ears: The European Union's Campaign for the ICC</i>	256
8.4	<i>Socialization and Non-Ratification</i>	258
8.4.1	<i>Different Strands of Persuasion</i>	258
8.4.2	<i>Regional and International Reflections</i>	261
8.5	<i>Conclusions: Keeping All Doors Open</i>	263
	<i>References</i>	267
9	Conclusion	279
9.1	<i>Normative Binding and the Institutionalization of the ICC</i>	279
9.2	<i>Binders, Outliers, and Stragglers</i>	280
9.3	<i>How to Facilitate State Participation to the ICC?</i>	285
9.4	<i>The ICC's Implications to International Order</i>	286
	<i>References</i>	287
	Index	289